

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/588,387	ZENOBI, MAURO	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Examiner Daniel C. Comings. (3) _____.

(2) Attorney John Parzych. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 January 2009

Time: 3:00 EST

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claim 12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Daniel C Comings/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3744

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

An examiner's amendment to claim 12 was proposed in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The amendment will add the phrase "for reading cell identification means" between "said reading device" and "controlled by the processing system" on lines 21-22 of claim 12 to distinguish between the two different "reading devices" disclosed previously in the claim and thus avoid rejection of the claim under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 as being indefinite.

Attorney gave consent for the proposed examiner's amendment.